

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| 09/223,558 | 12/31/1998 | GREGORY LINDHORST | 3797.77996 | 1430 | |
| 28319 7: | 590 01/17/2003 | | | | |
| BANNER & WITCOFF LTD., ATTORNEYS FOR MICROSOFT 1001 G STREET , N.W. | | | EXAMINER | | |
| | | | HO, THE T | | |
| ELEVENTH S WASHINGTO | N, DC 20001-4597 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2126 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 01/17/2003 | DATE MAILED: 01/17/2003 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | \mathcal{N} |
|--|--|---|---|
| Advisory Action | 09/223,558 | LINDHORST ET AL | |
| Advisory Action | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | The T. Ho | 2126 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 13 December 2002 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to ave final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | oid abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whicl | ation. A proper reply h places the applica | y to a Ition in |
| PERIOD FOR RE | PLY [check either a) or b)] | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail | g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the approperation of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final | on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF | R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | ecause: | | |
| (a) they raise new issues that would require further | · | see NOTE below); | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b | •• | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or | n better form for appeal by mate | rially reducing or sir | nplifying the |
| (d) they present additional claims without cancelling | ng a corresponding number of fi | inally rejected claim | S. |
| NOTE: | | | ••• |
| Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti | on(s): | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a se | eparate, timely filed | amendment |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See | | dered but does NO | T place the |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ause it is not directed SOLELY t | o issues which were | e newly |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we | · <i>· ·</i> — | | and an |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-914</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is | a) approved or b) disapp | roved by the Exami | ner. |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer | nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | <u> </u> | |
| 10. Other: | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Part of Paper No. 14

Continuation of 5. The amendment filed 12/13/2002 has been fully considered but does not place the application in condition for allowance because applicant argues the same elements as what was set forth in the Amendment received 6/26/2002. See Examiner's rejection argument in the Final Rejection.

ALVIN OBERLEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100